

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/017,632	12/14/2001	Jonathan F. Hester	56754US002	6407			
32692	7590 08/07/2003						
3M INNOV	ATIVE PROPERTIES	EXAMINER					
PO BOX 33- ST. PAUL, I	427 MN 55133-3427	VO, HAI					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1771	7			
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED ST S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and .. ademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NUMBER

> EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIE	W SUMM	ARY				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) HAÌ VO	(3)	-			``	
(2) DOUGLAS B. LITTLE	(4)					
Date of Interview 08 05 2603						
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is	s given to	applicant	applicant's repr	resentative).		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: XYes \(\square\) No If yes, brie	ef description	n:				_
						_
Agreement 🔀 was reached. 🗆 was not reached.						
Claim(s) discussed: claims 29-36, 38-42	. 37. 4	13 \$ 53				
	lic c	558, 549,	US 4,3	33,774	4 .	
EP 526 823	<u> </u>	201,241,				
			-		5	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to If an agreemen	nt was reach	ed, or any other	comments:			
Regarding the restriction requirements, Ap	plicants	have the	night to	request?	reginal	and G
lains when product claims are allowable	e_ (F	re out re	jectims o	ver NIC	Keown a	
have been overcome because the cited	petereno	es do i	not teach	or suc	gest 1	
obundity of flow channels, each has	walls	-therebet	ween _			
consect.				ander the clair	ne allowable	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if av must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which wou	valiable, which uld render the	e claims allowab	le is available,	a summary the	ereof must be	
attached.)						

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the Interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04), if a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

7(ai Vo 08/05/03

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1,133 Interviews

1,45,50

(b) in every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively not he written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any selloged oral promise, sitpulation, or understanding in relation which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

it is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held efter January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has Examiners must compare a xvor-sneet carbon interest interview Summary Form for each interview held efter Jenuary 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the Interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a stall point per. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, discreted solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section \$12.01 of the Manual of Plant Examining Procedure, pointing out to prographical errors or unreadate is explicit forfice actions or the like, or resulting in an examinar memoriment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the Interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal Interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or entomy or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic Interview, the copy is melied to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

4 4 5 5 7 . 3

- The Form provides for recordation of the following information:
- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of Interview (personal or telephonic)
- Type or interview (personal interprints)
 Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
 An Indication whether or not en exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
 An Identification of the claims discussed

- An Identification of the specific prior art.discussed ent was reached and if so, e description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy - An Indication whether an agreen
- of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- contrary.) - The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview

. . .0 (

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the form or in a stackment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form Informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the Interview.

It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete end proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an Identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary
- 4 in notemination to the principal proposal manufactures of a solution of the proposal propos
- emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner, 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and 5 7) If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the Interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Exeminars ere expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an Interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)). will give the

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the Applicants a summary or mate two-place as the interview should be carefully changed to despite of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Official enter is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Official enter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner about sense is their setting from this or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the ecord is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials